





Project

For the follow-up project (formally corresponding to roughly 1 week of fulltime work):

We suggest that you either pick a

- standard project (with files standardprojectfiles.zip) defined by us, or
- project of your own choice with a clear project scope and estimated time frame to be accepted by us. This will make it possible for you to suggest and define a project closer to your own interests.

The deadline for handing in the follow-up project report is **Monday 13 June 2011 (at noon)** unless you arranged otherwise with us. Submit your work by e-mail to Allan (apek (at) imm.dtu.dk). If you choose to do a follow-up project different from the standard project, please let us know before **Wednesday 25 Maj**.

Note that to complete the project access to a programmable GPU is required.

Project

- Independent work in groups of up to two persons
- Individually hand in a small report which document the work in sufficient detail to both verify and reproduce the work (pdf file + zipped code)
- Grade: Passed/Not Passed
- Credits: 5 ECTS points
- **Deadline**: Monday, June 13, 2011.



Projects in 2010

Examples of student projects completed as a part of the PhD School in 2010

- Flexible-order finite difference computations

- Flexible-order finite difference computations
 The Poisson problem
 Implementation of the Lattice Boltzmann Method on GPU
 Segmented line extraction
 Large-scale primal SVM training in CUDA
 Gaussian process regression using GPUs
 Performing measurements for comparing 3D observations with a generative human model
 Sparse matrix-vector techniques for finite difference operations using CUDA
 Realtime-ish ray tracer in CUDA
 Sparse octree computation on the GPU
 CudaVox: a voxel terrain renderer
 Implementing a feature detector in CUDA
 k-means clustering on a GPU

Standard project

Motivation

- Scientific algorithms can typically be decomposed into "standard" building stones.
- The gap between naive implementations and optimized code can be significant.
- Standard libraries may alleviate this problem... if they exist and are mature.
- Cross-platform portability of interest for decision makers (e.g. CUDA vs. OpenCL issue).
- Tuning performance. Paying attention to algorithmic parameters and performance, impact on resource utilization(/saturation), choice of architecture and effort put into implementation, etc.

Stencil computations

(nearest neighbor computations)

Consider the general formula for flexible-order finite difference approximations of the q'th derivative of a function f(x) in one space dimension

$$\frac{\partial^q f}{\partial x^q} \approx \sum_{n=-\alpha}^{\beta} c_n f(x_{i+n})$$

(1)

where c_n is finite difference coefficients which can be computed using the supplied C function fdcoeffF.c and the function f(x) is evaluated at a discrete grid $x_i = hi$, i = 0, 1, ..., N - 1, with uniform spacing between grid points of size $h = \frac{1}{N-1}$. α and β are integer values indicating the number of points, respectively, to the left and right of the expansion point x_i . Take $\alpha = \beta$ for all interior points sufficiently far from the boundaries. Near the domain boundaries at x_0 and x_{N-1} the stencils will need to be off-centered.

Seemingly, a simple numerical problem...

(nearest neighbor computations)										
	$\frac{\partial^q f}{\partial x^q} \approx \sum_{n=-\alpha}^{\beta} c_n f(x_{i+n}) \qquad \bigstar$						\Leftrightarrow	$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{f_x} = A\mathbf{f}$		
c_{00}	c_{01}	c_{02}	0	0	0	0	0]	$\begin{bmatrix} f(x_0) \\ f(x_0) \end{bmatrix}$		$\begin{bmatrix} f^{(q)}(x_0) \\ f^{(q)}(x) \end{bmatrix}$
$c_{10} \\ 0$	C10	C11	0	0	0	0	0	$\begin{array}{c c} f(x_1) \\ f(x_2) \end{array}$		$f^{(q)}(x_1)$
0	0	c_{10}	c_{11}	c_{12}	0	0	0	$f(x_3)$		$f^{(q)}(x_3)$
0	0	0	c_{10}	c_{11}	c_{12}	0	0	$f(x_4)$	~	$f^{(q)}(x_4)$
0	0	0	0	c_{10}	c_{11}	c_{12}	0	$f(x_5)$		$f^{(q)}(x_5)$
0	0	0	0	0	c_{10}	c_{11}	c_{12}	$f(x_6)$		$f^{(q)}(x_6)$
0	0	0	0	0	c_{20}	c_{21}	c_{22}	$\int f(x_7) \int$		$\int f^{(q)}(x_7)$



Work steps

- Carry out performance tests and try to maximize throughput for various size stencils with rank r = α+β+1 with ranks r = 3, 5, 7, ... while exploiting the mem hierarchy of the architecture. Any incremental improvements should be repor and documented (ranging from naive to most optimized GPU kernel). Include b absolute and relative measures of performance (fx. timings, throughput, transf etc.) and possibly other interesting performance indicators. [HINT: e.g. use compute profiler, occupancy calculator, etc.]
- Write a parallel GPU version of the sequential code using the OpenCL programm model. Redo the tests you have done using the CUDA version for performa comparison. Test the the codes on available GPU architectures.
- Compare the performance of your code with the performance of a software libr (e.g. use cusp-library). Discuss differences in performance.







Self-defined project

Guidelines

- Requirements (equivalent to 1 week of full-time work)
 - What challenge will be addressed? What is the relevance of the problem? How is it relevant to state-of-the-art?
 - Port an algorithm to GPU architecture
 - Assessment of correctness
 - Performance analysis and optimization (profiling, etc.)
 - Programming model CUDA and OpenCL
 - Write up small report (prioritize quality over quantity)

Description

• Formulate on at most I page (see standard project on the web)

